

a remote network database communicating in real time with said laptop computer through a wireless connection.

REMARKS

Claims 1-6 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (a), as being anticipated under Price, et al., (US 6,052,068). With regard to claim 1 the Examiner cited the radio frequency tag, antenna, and tag reader were claimed by Price. Claim 1 has now been amended to include the phrase "in an omni-directional manner" in reference to the receipt of information by the antenna. The applicant respectfully submits that Price does not anticipate an omni-directional antenna, stating that his interrogator is "directional only able to identify vehicles in a limited area" (Column 3 line 23,24), and that the "interrogator uses a directive antenna which limits the width of a radiation pattern so that only a few vehicle VITs will receive an interrogator transmission" (Column 7 lines 1-3). Therefore Price also leaves suspects untouched or unanalyzed by his interrogator, by the uni-directional nature of his interrogator. The Applicant respectfully submits that claim 1 is now allowable. Additionally, the Applicant respectfully submits that claims 2-6 are now allowable as they are all ultimately dependent on newly amendment claim 1. No new matter has been entered.

Claims 7-10 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Price (U.S. 6,052,068). The applicant respectfully submits that as claims 7-10 are ultimately dependent on newly amended claim 1 that they are now allowable. No new matter has been entered.

Claims 11-16 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Price, et al., (U.S. 6,052, 068) in lieu of Cannon et al. (U.S. 6,408,232). The applicant respectfully submits that claims 11-14 are ultimately dependent on newly amended claim 1 and are therefore now allowable. Claim 15 has been canceled. Claim 16 has been amended to include the phrase "in real time" to indicate the transmission of data from the laptop to the remote network computer. The applicant respectfully submits that neither Price nor Cannon anticipates a real time transmission of data. Additionally claim 17 adds the element of at least two fingerprints being transmitted in real time from the laptop to the remote network server, which is not anticipated by either Price or Cannon. The Applicant respectfully submits that claims 11-14 and 16 are now allowable. No new matter has been entered.

The Examiner is encouraged to call Attorney of Record, Michael L. Greenberg, at 301-588-8393 should there be any further questions or concerns regarding the present application. *Attorney of Record respectfully requests and interview should a notice of allowance not be deemed appropriate after receipt of this amendment and response.*

A petition for an extension of time is hereby made. Please charge all fees due and owing to deposit account number 500356 in the name of Greenberg & Lieberman.

Sincerely,



Michael L. Greenberg, Esq.

Reg. No. 47312

8/9/2004 4:16 PM FROM: (240) 363-0746 GL Greenberg Lieberman Law Offices PAGE: 010 OF 010

6/4/2004 10:36 PM FROM: (240) 363-0746 GL Greenberg Lieberman Law Offices PAGE: 009 OF 009

CERTIFICATION OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this amendment and response was sent via fax 703-308-6916
to the Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on June 4,
2004.



Michael L. Greenberg, Esq.

Reg. No. 47312

Dated 06-04-04